

REMARKS/ARGUMENTS

At the outset, Applicant's attorney wishes to thank the Examiner for the courtesies extended in the course of a telephonic interview with the Examiner which took place on October 11, 2006.

In the office action of July 12, 2006, claims 1-7 were allowed and claim 8 was rejected. Objections were also made to the drawings as not showing all of the steps of claim 8.

Applicant wishes to point out that, notwithstanding the indication of allowance of claims 1-7 in the last office action, claims 6 and 7 were canceled earlier. Hence, if claim 8, as herein amended, is allowed the claims in the application will be nos. 1-5 and 8.

Applicant's attorney requested this interview in order to secure allowance of claim 8 and to overcome the objections to the drawings.

Preparatory to the interview, Applicant's attorney suggested amendments to place claim 8 in condition for allowance. During the interview, the Examiner indicated that the proposed amendments would overcome the rejection. Those amendments have been made herein.

Before the interview, the Examiner had objected to claim 8 as a method for communication yet having no communication step. Claim 8, as now amended, recites that it is a "method for increasing capacity for communication" and now recites 2 steps which, in

context, enable an increased capacity for communication with mobile users subsystems, namely,

providing additional base stations for connection between said wireless mobile user subsystems and a radio network controller, and

providing cable connections between respective ones of said additional base stations and said wireless mobile user subsystems for allowing the communication

Applicant's attorney also explained to the Examiner in the course of the interview that all of the steps of claim 8 are illustrated in Fig. 2 of the drawings which shows providing base stations SCB for connection between wireless mobile user subsystems STU and a radio network controller CRR. The Examiner acknowledged that the system drawing of Fig. 2 did, indeed, illustrate the steps of claim 8 as now amended.

The step of adding base stations is described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention. The specification provides an enabling disclosure on how one can provide base stations for connection between the wireless mobile user subsystems and a radio network at paragraphs 0035 - 0038, and 0047.

During the interview, the Examiner also questioned whether a new abstract was needed. However, as then pointed out, and as the Examiner acknowledged, a satisfactory abstract was, in fact, filed as part of a preliminary amendment dated November 12, 2004.

It is respectfully submitted that the above amendments are nominal, require only minimal consideration, and do not require a further search.

In view of the foregoing, it is respectfully submitted that the application is now in condition for allowance. Early and favorable action is earnestly solicited.

An unpaid fee required to keep this case alive may be charged to deposit account 06-0735.

Respectfully Submitted,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Howard F. Mandelbaum", written in a cursive style.

Howard F. Mandelbaum
Registration No. 27,519
Attorney for Applicant

HFM:cnt